

**Economics 8379**  
**Prof. Williams**  
**Homework 4**  
**due Friday, April 10**

1. **weak IV.** Use the file `AKMHEdata.dta` for this exercise. This file contains 5 variables: log wage (`Lwage`), highest grade of education completed (`hgc`), place of birth (`pob`), year of birth (`yob`), and quarter of birth (`qob`). Watch the `ivreg2` video I posted on Blackboard before doing this exercise.
  - a. Recreate columns (1), (3), and (5) in Table 4.6.2 in *Mostly Harmless Econometrics*. Summarize Angrist and Pischke's discussion of whether the weak instruments problem is a concern here.
  - b. Use the Montiel-Olea and Pflueger test to test for the presence of weak instruments for each of the 3 specifications. State explicitly what the null hypothesis is and what your conclusion is.
  - c. For each of the three specifications, calculate the AR and CLR confidence intervals for the coefficient on `hgc`. Compare each to the standard confidence interval from the IV regression.
  - d. For each of the three specifications, implement the Fuller estimator, the 2-step optimal GMM estimator, and the continuously-updated GMM estimator (CUE). (Just use the `Fuller(1)`, `gmm2s`, and `cue` options to `ivreg2`. Compare to the 2SLS and LIML estimators in Table 4.6.2.
2. **IV estimators Monte Carlo.** For this exercise, use the file `IVMonteCarlo.m`.
  - a. Inspect the model being simulated in the `.m` file. For this model, which of the 4 estimators is a consistent estimator?
  - b. Run the Monte Carlo simulation to determine the bias of the 4 estimators for  $n = 100$ ,  $n = 1000$  and  $n = 10000$ . Make sure that the number of simulations is large enough! Use the theory discussed in class (and in your answer to a) to explain your simulation results.
  - c. Compare the standard deviation of the 4 estimators in the Monte Carlo simulations.
  - d. Make at least one modification to the Monte Carlo exercise that leads to a substantial difference in the bias comparison in b. Explain your results carefully.
3. **Shift-share design.** This problem is about the Bartik instrument, which is a popular example of what a shift-share IV design. Consider the regression model,

$$y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i + \varepsilon_i$$

where  $y_i$  is wage growth in location  $i$  and  $x_i$  is employment growth in location  $i$ . Employment growth, which is endogenous, can be written as

$$x_i = \sum_k s_{ik} g_{ik}$$

where  $g_{ik}$  is employment growth in location  $i$  in industry  $k$  and  $s_{ik}$  is the employment share in location  $i$  in industry  $k$ . This is an accounting identity, not an assumption. Next, suppose we write  $g_{ik} = g_k + \tilde{g}_{ik}$ . The Bartik instrument is  $z_i = \sum_k s_{ik}g_k$ . To simplify, suppose there are only two industries.

- a. Explain when the two identifying assumptions for using  $z_i$  as an instrument in the regression model are valid. Write out a formula for the 2SLS estimator. Is the model just-identified or over-identified?
- b. Suppose we instead use  $s_{i1}$  and  $s_{i2}$  as two separate instruments. Write down the 2SLS estimator and the 2 IV conditions under which this estimator is consistent. Is this estimator equivalent to the estimator in a?
- c. Now suppose  $\beta_1$  is heterogeneous across locations. That is,  $y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_{1i}x_i + \varepsilon_i$ . Are there conditions under which the estimators in a and b are consistent for the same estimand? Explain.